EXHIBIT J

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

AND AFFILIATED PARTNERSHIPS

655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Barbara Mack Harding To Call Writer Directly: (202) 879-5081 bharding@kirkland.com

(202) 879-5000

www.kirkland.com

Facsimile: (202) 879-5200 Dir. Fax: (202) 879-5200

June 20, 2007

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

Sander Esserman, Esq. Stutzman Bromberg Esserman & Plifka, PC 2323 Bryan Street Suite 2200 Dallas, TX 75201-2689

Re:

W.R. Grace Bankruptcy -- Brayton Purcell Subpoena

Dear Sandy:

As you are aware, Grace intends to serve a subpoena on Brayton Purcell seeking firm records and a Federal Rule 30(b)(6) deposition. Several weeks ago, I approached you regarding whether you would accept service of a subpoena on behalf of Brayton Purcell. I never received a response. Accordingly, on numerous occasions Grace attempted to serve the partners of Brayton Purcell at both their office and personal residences. On two occasions, when attempting to effect service at Brayton Purcell's offices, the receptionist would neither accept service nor attempt to reach anyone who was authorized to do so. Further, upon visual identification, Grace believed it had served David Donadio at his personal residence but Mr. Donadio refused to confirm his identity.

As a result, we are re-issuing the subpoena, a copy of which is enclosed. As a matter of courtesy, we are approaching you first to ask that you accept service on behalf of your client. Please let me know by the close of business Friday whether you will accept service. If we do not hear back from you or you refuse to accept service of the subpoena, we will again attempt to serve partners of Brayton Purcell at both their offices and personal residences.

Chicago

Hong Kong

London

Los Angeles

Munich

New York

San Francisco

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Sander Esserman, Esq. June 20, 2007 Page 2

Sincerely,

Barbara Mack Harding

BMH/acb

Enclosures

Issued by the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

n re W.R. Grace & Co., et al.	SURPOENA IN	A CIVIL CASE
V.		
	Case Number:1	01-01139 (JKF) (Bankr. D. Del.)
TO: Brayton Purcell LLP 222 Rush Landing Road P.O. Box 6169 Novato, CA 94918-6169		
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States District to testify in the above case.	ct court at the place	e, date, and time specified below
PLACE OF TESTIMONY		COURTROOM
		DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and time s in the above case.	pecified below to t	estify at the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION Brayton Purcell LLP, 222 Rush Landing Road, Novato	, CA 94918-6169	DATE AND TIME 7/20/2007 9:00 am
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and place, date, and time specified below (list documents or objects):	copying of the foll	owing documents or objects at the
See Attachment A for description of documents and/or things to be	produced.	
PLACE Brayton Purcell LLP, 222 Rush Landing Road, Novato, CA 94918-	6169	DATE AND TIME 7/13/2007 9:00 am
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the following	premises at the d	ate and time specified below.
PREMISES		DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the taking directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify on its b matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 30	ehalf, and may set f	designate one or more officers, orth, for each person designated, the
ISSUING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE AND TITLE (INDICATE IF ATTORNEY FOR PLAINT)	FF OR DEFENDANT)	Le 12012007
issuing officer's name, address and phone number Amanda C. Basta, Esq. Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 655 Fifteenth Street, N	I.W., Washington,	D.C. 20005, counsel for Debtors

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

¹ If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

AO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a Civ	vil Case			
PROOF OF SERVICE				
	DATE	PLACE		
SERVED				
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE		
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE		
	DECLA	ARATION OF SERVER		
I declare under penalty of contained in the Proof of Ser	of perjury under the law vice is true and correct.	ws of the United States of America that the foregoing information.		
Executed on				
Executed Off	DATE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER		
		ADDRESS OF SERVER		
		ADDRESS OF SERVER		
	•			
	4			

Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(c) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS.

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

(B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.

(3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it

(i) fails to allow reasonable time for compliance;

(ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) If a subpoena

(i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or

(ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or

(iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject

to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(d) Duties in Responding to Subpoena.

(1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.

(B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable.

(C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) (A) When information subject to a subpoena is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.

(B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the subpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re:)	
)	
W. R. GRACE & CO., et al.,)	Case No.: 01-01139 (JKF) (Bankr. D. Del.)
)	
Debtors.)	

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT DEPOSITION AND PRODUCE DOCUMENTS PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 30(b) AND 45

TO: Brayton Purcell LLP 222 Rush Landing Road P.O. Box 6169 Novato, CA 94918-6169

YOU ARE COMMANDED TO APPEAR to testify at a deposition in the matter styled In re W.R. Grace & Co., et al., Case No. 01-1139 (JKF), currently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Delaware, to be conducted at the offices of Brayton Purcell LLP, located at 222 Rush Landing Road, Novato, CA 94918-6169, (or at another location agreed upon by counsel), on July 20, 2007 at 9:00 A.M., or at such other time and place mutually agreed upon by counsel for the parties. The deposition(s) will continue from day-to-day until complete. The deposition(s) will be taken before an official authorized by law to administer oaths, and, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(2), will be recorded by both stenographic means and sound-and-visual means.

This Subpoena names as the deponent a private corporation or partnership or association. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6), Brayton Purcell LLP is required to identify and produce for deposition one or more attorneys to testify on its behalf, who are most knowledgeable as to the following matters known and reasonably available to Brayton Purcell:

- 1) Attorney with most knowledge of cases or claims in which Harry Kananian, or his estate, was a Plaintiff or Claimant, including but not limited to Kananian v. Lorillard Tobacco and Kananian v. Asbestos Defendants, No. 311064 (Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco);
- 2) Attorney with most knowledge of Brayton Purcell's practices, procedures, protocols and criteria with respect to the submission of claims to asbestos trusts;
- 3) Attorney with most knowledge of the development of exposure evidence for the Claimants listed on Exhibit 1 of the CD-Rom attached hereto as Attachment B, and who are represented by Brayton Purcell, LLP and Early Ludwick & Sweeney, LLC or Early Ludwick Sweeney & Strauss, LLC;
- 4) Attorney with most knowledge of the submission of claims to asbestos trusts on behalf of the Claimants listed on Exhibit 1 of the CD-Rom attached hereto as Attachment B, and who are represented by Brayton Purcell, LLP and Early Ludwick & Sweeney, LLC or Early Ludwick Sweeney & Strauss, LLC;
- 5) Attorney most knowledgeable concerning the activities undertaken by the firm to respond to the W. R. Grace Asbestos Personal Injury Questionnaire on behalf of the claimants listed on Exhibit 2 on the CD-Rom attached hereto as attachment B.

& Co. a written designation of the name(s) and employment title(s) of the person(s) designated to testify on its behalf. Such written designation is requested at least two weeks before the first deposition scheduled as a result of this Subpoena.

YOU ARE FURTHER COMMANDED TO PRODUCE the categories of documents set forth in Attachment "A" to this subpoena. Brayton Purcell LLP is further requested to make the categories of documents set forth in Attachment "A" available to the undersigned counsel for W.R. Grace & Co. for inspection and copying at least two weeks before the first deposition scheduled as a result of this Subpoena.

This subpoena has been issued by the United States District Court for the Northern

District of California. You must appear, give testimony, and produce all of the materials

described in this subpoena and its attachments for inspection and copying and must do so at the

time and place set out in this subpoena. Your failure to do so may be punished as a contempt of the United States District Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.

Dated: June 20, 2007

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

David M. Bernick

Ellen T. Ahern

Salvatore F. Bianca

200 East Randolph Drive

Chicago, IL 60601

Telephone:

(312) 861-2000

Facsimile:

(312) 861-2200

-and-

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

Barbara M. Harding

David E. Mendelson

Brian T. Stansbury

Amanda C. Basta

655 Fifteenth Street, NW

Washington, D.C. 20005

Telephone:

(202) 879-5000

Facsimile:

(202) 879-5200

ATTACHMENT A

DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

- 1. The "Action" shall mean the Chapter 11 matter styled *In re W.R. Grace & Co., et al.*, Case No. 01-1139 (JFK) currently pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Delaware.
- 2. "Brayton Purcell," "You," or "Your" shall mean and include Brayton Purcell LLP and any and all predecessors, successors, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, divisions and acquired companies thereof, and any directors, officers, agents, partners, employees, attorneys and other persons acting or purporting to act for, on behalf of, in conjunction with, or who are subject to the direction and control of, any of them.
- 3. "Asbestos PI Pre-Petition Litigation Claim" shall mean and include any lawsuit initiated prior to Debtors' bankruptcy petition, filed April 2, 2001, for which the Claimant has:

 (1) not been paid in full; and (2) not provided the Debtors with a release of his or her claim. Such claims shall include, but are not limited to, claims for emotional harm, mental distress and loss of consortium alleged to have been caused by exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products manufactured, marketed or sold by the Debtors.
- 4. "Claimant" shall mean and include any and all Persons who hold or purport to hold an Asbestos PI Pre-Petition Litigation Claim against the Debtors and any agents, attorneys, consultants, representatives and other persons acting or purporting to act for, on behalf of, in conjunction with, or who are subject to the direction and control of, any of them.
- 5. "Asbestos-Related Disease" shall mean any bodily injury, sickness, disease or impairment alleged to have been caused by exposure to asbestos or asbestos-containing products.
- 6. As used herein, "Document" has the full meaning ascribed to it in Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

- 7. "Relate to," "related to," or "relating to" shall mean, without limitation, to consist of, refer to, evidence, describe, reflect, memorialize or otherwise be in any way legally, logically or factually connected with the subject matter discussed.
- 8. "Person" includes a natural person or any business, legal, or government entity or association.
- 9. Unless otherwise specified, this request for documents shall include the period beginning January 1, 1990 through the date on which the answers in response hereto are made.
- 10. Consistent with the requirements and limitations of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, if you object to the production of any document requested on the basis of privilege or immunity from discovery, list in your written response to the particular request all such documents in chronological order, setting forth as to each the following: (a) date of the document; (b) identity of the author(s) and all recipients; (d) description; (e) type of document; (f) subject matter; and (g) basis for assertion of privilege or immunity.
- 11. If you have a good faith basis to believe that any document requested has been destroyed or lost, please so state.
- 12. Whenever appropriate, the singular form of a word should be interpreted in the plural. "And" as well as "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively so as to acquire the broadest possible meaning in each circumstance.
- 13. References to the singular include the plural and vice versa, references to one gender include the other gender, references to the past tense include the present and vice versa, and disjunctive terms or phrases should be read to include the conjunctive and vice versa.

REQUESTED DOCUMENTS

REQUEST NO. 1:

With respect to any cases or claims submitted to an asbestos trust on behalf of the Claimants listed on Exhibit 1 of the CD-Rom attached hereto as Attachment B, any and all documents related to any asbestos exposure experienced by the Claimant, including but not limited to, copies of any claim form submitted to any asbestos trust(s) and all attachments thereto.

REQUEST NO. 2:

Any and all documents relating to exposure information of any kind provided to any defendant, except W.R. Grace, in any asbestos-related lawsuit filed by each of the Claimants listed on Exhibit 1 of the CD-Rom attached hereto as Attachment B, or in connection with any settlement of an asbestos-related claim made by the Claimants listed on Exhibit 1, irrespective of whether a lawsuit was filed on the Claimant's behalf.

REQUEST NO. 3:

Any and all communications, including but not limited to email, related to materials submitted to an asbestos trust or asbestos defendant on behalf of each of the Claimants listed on Exhibit 1 of the CD-Rom attached hereto as Attachment B, including but not limited to communications related to exposure data submitted to an asbestos trust or asbestos defendant.

REQUEST NO. 4:

Any and all documents relating to the retention of Brayton Purcell LLP, Early Ludwick & Sweeney, LLC or Early Ludwick Sweeney & Strauss, LLC on behalf of any of the Claimants listed on Exhibit 1.

REQUEST NO. 5:

Any and all documents relating to any fee sharing agreements among Brayton Purcell LLP, Early Ludwick & Sweeney, LLC or Early Ludwick Sweeney & Strauss, LLC concerning any of the Claimants listed on Exhibit 1, irrespective of whether the Claimant is specifically named in such agreements.

REQUEST NO. 6:

Any and all documents produced by or on behalf of Harry Kananian or his estate in any case in which Mr. Kananian or his estate was a Plaintiff or Claimant, including but not limited to Kananian v. Lorillard Tobacco and Kananian v. Asbestos Defendants, No. 311064 (Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco).

REQUEST NO. 7:

Any and all documents produced by or on behalf of Brayton Purcell or Early Ludwick & Sweeney, LLC or Early Ludwick Sweeney & Strauss, LLC in any case in which Mr. Kananian or his estate was a Plaintiff or Claimant, including but not limited to *Kananian v. Lorillard Tobacco* and *Kananian v. Asbestos Defendants*, No. 311064 (Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco).